## Mushroom Marketing Channels and Consumer Behaviour: A Critical Analysis

Mahantesh Shirur and N. S. Shivalingegowda Department of Agricultural Extension, College of Agriculture, UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru - 560 065

## ABSTRACT

Mushrooms are valued high for their nutritional and medicinal properties. However, their consumption among the masses is not common. Hence, the present study was undertaken to understand the marketing channels and mushroom consumer behaviour among the people. The extent of variation in price spread observed in the average selling price when sold to consumers, retailers and wholesalers for all the mushroom varieties was studied which varied between Rs. 27-40. The perishability and lack of processing facilities for mushrooms is the main reason for such a huge price escalation as the growers will mostly depend on the marketing channels to sell their produce. Majority consumers preferred button mushroom over other mushroom varieties though, they are on par with respect to the nutritional and medicinal properties and are easier and cheaper to cultivate. Hence, Extension assumes a major role in spreading awareness on this among the growers and the consumers.

Mushrooms are widely appreciated all over the world for their nutritional and medicinal properties since ancient times. Mushrooms, besides having low fat, high proteins, high vitamins, contain several minerals (Phosphorous and Potassium) and trace elements (Selenium). Mushrooms contain substantial amount of dietary fibres and are unlimited source of bioactive molecules and valuable enzymes with around 126 therapeutic effects (Wasser, 2010 and Badalyan, 2012). Mushrooms are proven to have immune modulating, antioxidant, genoprotective, antitumor, hypocholesterinemic, antidiabetic, hepatoprotective and other medicinal properties (Badalyan, 2000, Wasser, 2010 and Badalyan, 2012).

Global mushroom industry has seen a rapid growth with the production increasing more than 25-fold during the last 35 years (from about 1 billion kg in 1978 to 27 billion kg in 2012), whereas, the human population has grown 1.7 times during the same period (Royse, 2014). On the contrary, in spite of varied agro-climate conditions together with abundant agriculture residues and cheap labour, the mushroom production and in turn their consumption in India is insignificant compared to global levels. With the conspicuous growth of mushroom production only in recent years, India produces little more than 100,000 tonnes of fresh mushrooms. But, its contribution amounts to less than one per cent of global mushroom production.

Consequent to the lesser domestic production, the per capita mushroom consumption of 30 g per annum in India is also very less compared to 4000 g in western countries (Wakchaure, 2011).

In India, though mushrooms are cultivated commercially since the 1970s and 80s, its consumption is not common among the people. The precise data on production and consumption of different edible mushrooms in India is lacking because of inadequate studies in this area. Even the scarce studies are causing obscure understanding about the perceptions and trends on mushroom consumption behaviour among people. Moreover, the consumer preference and perception studies on mushrooms are vital as they lead us to develop appropriate extension methods to popularise mushrooms or promote particular variety of mushroom based on their preference in a locality. It is felt that, research thrust given to understand the marketing and consumption pattern of mushrooms is not commensurate to the significance it holds. Hence, the present study was undertaken to analyse the marketing channels and mushroom consumer behaviour among the people.

The present study was conducted in Karnataka state of India. The information on mushroom marketing channels was collected from 50 mushroom growers spread across Karnataka eliciting data on major

marketing channels, branding, washing and packing. The data on mushroom consumption was collected from 150 mushroom purchasers drawn randomly across 15 points of sale spread across the state. The data on consumer profile characters, frequency of purchase, mode of consumption, places of consumption, consumers' preference of variety and the price paid for mushrooms was collected to analyse the consumer behaviour. The descriptive statistics was used to analyse the results and draw appropriate inferences for the study.

Of the 50 mushroom production units selected for the study, 36 were very small units (less than 10 kg per day), 11 were small (11-50 kg per day), one was medium (51-100 kg per day) and 2 were very big (>500 kg per day) units. With respect to the variety of mushroom cultivated, majority (37) are cultivating oyster mushroom (*Pleurotus* sp), eight growers cultivating only white button (*Agaricus bisporus*), seven cultivating milky mushroom (*Calocybe indica*) and one cultivating paddy straw mushroom (*Volvariella volvacea*). Four growers cultivated more than one variety of mushrooms in their unit.

The results of major marketing channels, branding, washing and packing by the mushroom units are presented in Table I. It is observed that, very small units mostly sell their produce to consumers and retailers; whereas, very big units depend mostly on wholesalers for selling their produce. Smaller units are selling almost equally to the consumers (directly) and

to the retailers / wholesalers. One unit with medium level of production mostly sells its mushroom among the retailers. Small units are not branding their produce, whereas very big units are branding their mushroom. Majority of the growers are not washing the fresh mushroom which is a welcome sign from the consumer point of view. Washing with any chemicals is not good for consumers' health and washing with water leads to excess absorption of water, rendering more weight to mushrooms and leading to fast decay. All the very big units and majority of other mushroom units are adopting their own package at the farm. Majority use 160-180 GSM polythene for packing.

The extent of variation in price spread observed in the average selling price when sold to consumers, retailers and wholesalers for all the mushroom varieties is presented in Table II. The difference in the price realised by the growers while selling directly to consumers and retailers was varying between Rs. 27-40 for different varieties with an average of Rs. 29.6 (17.79%). The same while selling directly to consumers and wholesalers for different mushrooms was varying around Rs. 50 with an average difference of Rs. 46.8 (34.21%). The difference in the price paid by consumers at farm gate and at market is different for different mushrooms. This difference was Rs. 13.88 (7.47%) for button, Rs. 34.2 (19.35%) for oyster and Rs. 45.02 (28.21%) for milky mushroom with an average difference of Rs. 38.67 (23.24%). The perishability of mushroom is the main reason for such a huge price escalation as the growers will mostly

Table I

Classification of mushroom units based on the scale of production and the marketing channels used by mushroom growers

| Category   | Number of units | Major marketing channels |                |              | Branding |    | Washing |    | Packing |    |
|------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------|----------|----|---------|----|---------|----|
| of units   |                 | Consu-<br>mers           | Retai-<br>lers | Whole salers | Yes      | No | Yes     | No | Yes     | No |
| Very small | 36              | 15                       | 14             | 7            | 9        | 27 | 12      | 24 | 25      | 11 |
| Small      | 11              | 5                        | 1              | 5            | 5        | 6  | 2       | 9  | 8       | 3  |
| Medium     | 1               | 0                        | 1              | 0            | 1        | 0  | 0       | 1  | 1       | 0  |
| Big        | 0               | 0                        | 0              | 0            | 0        | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0       | 0  |
| Very big   | 2               | 0                        | 0              | 2            | 2        | 0  | 1       | 1  | 2       | 0  |
| Total      | 50              | 20                       | 16             | 14           | 17       | 33 | 15      | 35 | 36      | 14 |

|                     | 1   | J                                      | 00  | O  | 3 33   |   |   |
|---------------------|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| Mushroom<br>Variety | Average<br>Rate for<br>consumers<br>at farm | Average<br>for<br>retailers<br>at farm | Average<br>rate for<br>wholesalers<br>at farm | Average price when purchased from market by consumer | Difference<br>between rate for<br>consumers and<br>retailers at farm | Diff between<br>rate for consu-<br>mers and<br>wholesalers at<br>farm | Difference<br>between price<br>for consu-<br>mers at farm<br>and market |
| Button              | 185.8                                       | 147.5                                  | 135   | 199.68   | 38.3(20.61)  | 50.8(34.44))  | 13.88(7.47)   |
| Milky               | 176.7                                       | 147.5                                  | _   | 210.9  | 29.2(16.53)  | -   | 34.2(19.35)   |
| Oyster              | 159.6                                       | 132.2                                  | 110   | 204.62   | 27.4(17.17)  | 49.6(37.52)   | 45.02(28.21)  |
| Oyster / Milky      | 170   | 135                                    | 120   | 207.76*  | 35(20.59)  | 50(37.04)   | -   |
| Paddy straw         | 200   | 160                                    | _   | _  | 40(20.00)  | -   | -   |
| All                 | 166.4                                       | 136.8                                  | 119.6   | 205.07   | 29.6(17.79)  | 46.8(34.21)   | 38.67(23.24)  |

Table II

Price spread for different marketing channels for different mushrooms

depend on the marketing channels to sell their produce. The lack of processing facility among most of the growers and the distance of market place also adds to the steep price spread for the mushrooms. The efficient marketing system backed by timely transport, storage, processing facility and collective marketing efforts by closely located mushroom units can help the farmers realise higher returns.

Table III

Profile characteristics of mushroom purchasers
(n=150)

| Criteria     | Category             | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------|----------------------|-----------|------------|
| Sex          | Male                 | 98        | 65.33      |
|              | Female               | 52        | 34.67      |
| Age (Years)  | <25                  | 16        | 10.67      |
|              | 25-50                | 119       | 79.33      |
|              | >50                  | 15        | 10.00      |
| Education    | Primary              | 15        | 10.00      |
|              | PUC/Diploma/ITI/+2   | 26        | 17.33      |
|              | Graduate             | 70        | 46.67      |
|              | Post graduate and al | bove 39   | 26.00      |
| Food habit   | Veg                  | 62        | 41.33      |
|              | Egg                  | 18        | 12.00      |
|              | Non veg              | 70        | 46.67      |
| Income level | Low                  | 31        | 20.67      |
|              | Medium               | 57        | 38.00      |
|              | High                 | 49        | 32.67      |
|              | Very high            | 13        | 8.67       |

The profile characteristics of consumers presented in Table III shows that majority of the respondents were males (65.33%), middle age group (79.33%), graduation or more educated (72.67%) and with medium and high family income (70.67%). With respect to the food preference, majority were non-vegetarian (46.67%) followed by vegetarian (41.33%) and the remaining were egg-vegetarians. The skewed proportion of males among the respondents is mainly due to the responses given mostly by males while accompanied by female family members.

It can be seen that, the mushroom is yet to find its way to the kitchen of less educated and the poor. The education on health benefits of mushrooms and eliminating the myths of mushrooms as poisonous or non vegetarian food by creating awareness about them among the public through mass media. This is also significant when we see the frequency of mushroom purchased even by the mushroom purchasers (Table IV). Majority of the respondents (46%) are purchasing occasionally followed by nearly 34% purchasing at an interval of either monthly or bimonthly. The mushrooms are purchased mostly (58.67%) from shopping malls / super markets. This is an indication that, mushrooms are yet to reach most of the vegetable markets.

From Table IV it can be observed that, button mushroom is the most preferred variety among the consumers. Other mushrooms, which are on par with button mushroom on their nutritional quality, are less

<sup>\*</sup> Calculated based on average of both mushrooms (Figures in the parenthesis indicate the differences in percentage)

Table IV

Mushroom consumer behaviour

(n=150)

| Consumer behaviour | Response category | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|------------|
| Frequency of       | Weekly            | 17        | 11.33      |
| purchase           | Fortnightly       | 13        | 8.67       |
|                    | Monthly           | 29        | 19.33      |
|                    | Bimonthly         | 22        | 14.67      |
|                    | Occasionally      | 69        | 46.00      |
| Frequently         | Vegetable vendors | 3 42      | 28         |
| purchased at       | Super market      | 88        | 58.67      |
|                    | Mushroom units    | 12        | 8          |
|                    | R&D Centre/Govt   | office 3  | 2          |
|                    | Others            | 5         | 3.33       |
| Preferred          | Button            | 96        | 64.43      |
| variety            | Oyster            | 33        | 22.15      |
|                    | Paddy straw       | 1         | 0.67       |
|                    | Milky             | 15        | 10.07      |
|                    | Shiitake/others   | 4         | 2.68       |
| Preferred          | Loose             | 17        | 11.33      |
| Packaging          | Polythene         | 92        | 61.33      |
|                    | Punnet            | 38        | 25.33      |
|                    | Canned            | 3         | 2          |
| Frequented         | Soup              | 31        | 20.81      |
| recipe at home     | Curry             | 72        | 48.32      |
|                    | Manchurian        | 29        | 19.46      |
|                    | Mushroom rice     | 16        | 10.74      |
|                    | Others            | 1         | 0.67       |
| Frequented         | Soup              | 22        | 15.07      |
| recipe at          | Curry             | 37        | 25.34      |
| restaurant         | Manchurian        | 69        | 47.26      |
|                    | Mushroom rice     | 15        | 10.27      |

preferred. The possible reasons could be non availability, lack of awareness about their quality or cooking etc. Extension has a role to work on this direction. Curry and Manchurian are the most preferred recipes at home and restaurant, respectively. The indianisation of mushroom recipes and their

popularisation can lead to their diverse use. The packing industry though, is most vibrant; the mushroom industry is yet to make full adoption of improved packaging to offer quality produce to the consumers as presently they use mostly the polythenes for packaging.

In developing countries, the importance of edible mushrooms within consumer preferences and perceptions has not been studied in great detail. Results of the present investigation are apposite in addressing the above concern. Further, in depth analysis of similar studies across different regions covering many other related issues would emphasise the growing importance of mushroom as a regular vegetable. The extension research as well as extension service has a key role in spreading the mushroom cultivation technology as well as awareness about the importance of mushroom as a healthy and nutritious vegetable.

## REFERENCES

Badalyan, S. M., 2000, Antitumor and immune-modulating activites of compounds from several basidiomycetes. *Probl. Med. Mycology*. **2**:23-28

Badalyan, S. M., 2012, Edible Ectomycorrhizal Mushrooms In: Edible Ectomycorrhizal Mushrooms. Soil Biology series. Vol. 34. Springer-Verlag. A. Zambonelli & G. Bonito, Eds. Pp.317-334. ISBN:978-3-642-33822-9.

Royse, D. J., 2014, A global perspective on the high five: Agaricus, Pleurotus, Lentinula, Auricularia & Flammulina. Proceedings of 8<sup>th</sup> International conference on mushroom biology and mushroom products, 2014. New Delhi.

Wasser, S. P., 2010, Medicinal mushroom science: history, current status, future trends and unsolved problems. *Int. J Med. Mushr.*, **12**:1-16

Wakchaure, G. C., 2011, Production and marketing of mushrooms: Global and National scenario. In Mushrooms cultivation, marketing and consumption by Manjit Singh, Bhuvnesh Vijay, Shwet Kamal and Wakchaure, G.C (Ed.). Directorate of Mushroom Research, Solan. pp 15-22.